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Roadmap

- The Concepts of “Legally Sourced Timber” (合法木材来源) and “Legality of Imported Timber” (进口木材合法性) in China

- Policy Options for China to Reduce Illegal Timber Trade

- Opportunities and Strategies for Collaborative Promotion of Different Options
Concepts

- “Legally Sourced Timber” (China’s Forestry Law, Article 33) – document based
- “Legality of Imported Timber” (No legal basis)
- Due care or due diligence in Chinese Forestry Law to ensure purchase of legal sourced timber
  - The standard of “Clearly Know”
- Lesson learned from the food safety sector to create due care process
Policy Options for China to Reduce Illegal Timber Trade

Option 1: Normative Documents SFA’s “Administrative Measures for Strengthening the Legality of Imported Wood”

- Normative documents or administrative rules promulgated by administrative authorities to govern specific issues and legally binding.
- **Pros**: relative easy to promulgate; introduce the timber legality regulatory requirement to the Chinese supply chain and lay the foundation
- **Cons**: administrative measures have restriction in creating legal liabilities: warning and/or small amount of monetary penalty in case of violation. Not enough sanctions.
Policy Options for China to Reduce Illegal Timber Trade

- Option 2: NPC enacted Law China’s Transnational Timber Legality Assurance Law
- National Law
- Pros: Legal authority; stipulates full range of legal requirements and responsibilities; create supervision institutions; enforceable administrative penalties, civil liability
- Cons: long and difficult legislative process
Policy Options for China to Reduce Illegal Timber Trade

- **Option 3:** Through the Foreign Trade law, enlisting illegal timber or risky timber to the Catalog of Goods Prohibited from Import
  - **National law**
  - **Pros:** can be jointly issued by involved ministries; trigger criminal liabilities; link to the national standard on “timber legality”
  - **Cons:** need careful technical design; Not easy for ministries to reach consensus

Ministry of Commerce, together with other relevant departments, shall formulate the catalogues.
Policy Options for China to Reduce Illegal Timber Trade

- Option 4: through Criminal Law- expanded the crime of purchase of illegal harvest timber (Art. 345 of Criminal Code) or by enlisting illegal timber as banned imported goods (Art. 151 of Criminal Code)
- National law
- Pros: harsh legal consequences for violation
- Cons: NPC Standing Committee enacted amendments; Forestry Law amendments
Policy Options for China to Reduce Illegal Timber Trade

- Option 5: Provincial and local laws and regulations
- Local rules
  - Pros: pilot programs; relatively easy to adopt; can show case the positive impacts
  - Cons: limited jurisdiction; restriction on creating legal liabilities (only small fines and warnings)
Policy Options for China to Reduce Illegal Timber Trade

- Option 6: National standards, industry standards; public and private certification schemes; international certification schemes

- Standards

- Pros: introduce the timber legality concept; relatively easy to be adopted

- Cons: without other legal provisions, there is no enforcement mechanisms
Policy Options for China to Reduce Illegal Timber Trade

- Option 7: Bilateral or multilateral agreement and legal cooperation
- International economic law
- Pros: can effectively reduce the overall illegal timber import from high risk region
Opportunities and Strategies for Collaborative Promotion of Different Options

- Planting the seed – Introduction of the Concept – Agenda Setting

- Pilot Projects – Consensus Building – Interagency Review

- Nonlinear Progress – Legislative Arena – Law

- Implementation – Interpretation – Adaptation and Evolution of the Law
Competent Legal Instruments, but real policy goes before the law
Timber Legality as Trade and Economic Regulation

- “Environmental Issue” for the world and for the West is “Trade and Economic Issue” for China

- Policy Rationale follows Trade and Economic Tracks;

- Decision Making Power in Trade and Economic Agencies and Institutions

- Similar to the US story – where is the leveraging power? (“Fair Trade”)
Incorporating Global Social and Environmental Considerations into the Trade & Economic Relations

- Significant Conceptual, Legal, Institutional and Implementation gaps. (for the international environmental governance in general and for the timber legality regulation in particular)
The Gaps

- China lacks substantial knowledge, experience and incentive

- Silos in governmental agencies have prevented horizontal and integrated policy-making

- “Economic Framing” of U.S.-China Relations will continue to displace social and environmental considerations
Understand the Problem and Bridge the Gaps

- Identify the legal and institutional gaps in the international coordination and partnerships and the role of NGO to bridge these gaps

- Case studies to evaluate any social and environmental benefits that may result from a timber legality regime in China.

- Policy Statements from both countries; inform various policy stakeholders priorities and pressure point so that they can develop means to navigate and advocate for their position on timber legality regimes
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We look forward to learning more from everyone.
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